



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,005	08/09/2005	Yoshinori Fujii	AI 373NP	4657
23995	7590	12/12/2007	EXAMINER	
RABIN & Berdo, PC			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
1101 14TH STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 500			3781	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/12/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/523,005	FUJII, YOSHINORI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	/Stephen J. Castellano/	3781	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2007.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 9 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 9 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 February 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1.) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2.) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3.) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.<br>_____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 6, lines 10-13 are incorrect for the reason that the outer peripheral edge 11a doesn't appear to be corrugated when seen in horizontal direction as shown in Fig. 2C.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gentry in view of Witmer.

With respect to claim 1, Gentry discloses a packaging container molded of a synthetic resin sheet (see paragraph [0017] )having an opening (defined at the upper end of the sidewall 14), the container comprising a flange (rim 16) projecting outwardly from the opening, the flange is provided throughout with regularly distributed minute projections (the uppermost portion or crest of the waves 20) or minute recesses (the lowermost portion or trough of the waves 20) and an outer peripheral edge of the flange forms a vertically (the wave pattern of the outer peripheral edge extends in a

vertical direction) corrugated edge (see Fig. 1 and 3-6) defined by a line crossing the projections or recesses (this outer peripheral edge line is substantially circular and has the wave pattern, this line is perpendicular to the ridge and groove lines formed by the projections and recesses, respectively). Gentry discloses the projections or recesses arranged in a pattern of a single row with numerous columns. Gentry discloses the invention except for the pattern having at least two rows. Witmer teaches a plate with a flange a pattern of projections (15, 15a) or recesses (16, 16a), the projections or recesses are arranged in a pattern of at least two rows and at least two columns. It would have been obvious to modify the arrangement of the projections and recesses of Gentry by adding another row of projections or recesses or both to Gentry's flange and to align the added row so that the projections or recesses align in columns in order to add rigidity to the flange, eliminate or reduce flexing of the flange and resist bending of the flange so that when the container is grasped and lifted by the flange the flange doesn't bend.

With respect to claims 2 & 3, Gentry discloses a waveform of a vertically corrugated edge with a difference (amplitude of the waveform) within the range of not more than 0.5 mm as the stated 11 mils, 17 mils, 16 mils and 18 mils (see paragraphs [0026-0028]) are all within this range. Gentry fails to disclose the stated pitch or wavelength dimensions of a waveform of a vertically corrugated edge, a pitch being not being more than 1.0 mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the pitch of the waveform as such, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272,205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Reducing the pitch or wavelength of Gentry with the same diameter of the bowl will effectively increase the total number of waveforms by a factor greater than 2.5 which will increase the reinforcing effect of the waveforms and enhance the bowl's overall strength.

Re claim 9, the outer peripheral edge of Gentry is not being modified. The outer peripheral edge of the flange of Gentry includes alternating minute projections and minute recesses.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 571-272-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on increased flexibility plan (IFP).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony D. Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen J. Castellano/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3781

sjc